Annali del turismo

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

Each manuscript submitted is reviewed through blind peer review by, at least, two researchers according to the form below, without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, ethnic origin, citizenship, religious belief, political philosophy or institutional affiliation. The Editor-in-Chief has full authority over the entire editorial content of the journal and the timing of publication.

Reviewers are invited to suggest improvements to the submitted papers through the reported form. Reviewers are asked to communicate immediately to editors in the case they do not feel qualified to review the manuscript. Moreover, they must identify any relevant mistake or omission in the citations.

Authors are required to provide, in their manuscripts, sufficient details and references to permit others to replicate the work. In addition, authors are required, if asked by referees, to provide data and information used to create their manuscripts for verification purposes. Submission, by an author, of a manuscript concurrently to more than one journal represents an unethical publishing behavior.

Manuscripts are expected to bring an empirical and/or theoretical contribution to research and knowledge. Authors should clarify the premises at the basis of their creation in case of possible conflict of interests. Every statement, included in the submitted papers, arising from personal conversations, can be reported solely with an explicit permission of the involved parties. If an author discovers, after the publication of the paper, a fundamental mistake, it must notify Geoprogress that will promptly publish an errata corrige note. Authors are responsible for misconducts and fraudulent behaviours.

Papers not approved for publication will not be exploited to get any advantage by editors and by Geoprogress Association in general; submitted manuscripts are treated as confidential documents. Editors and Geoprogress will take responsive measures if ethical concerns are raised with regard to the submitted and published papers. Every unethical publishing act will be carefully investigated and immediately reported when discovered.

Manuscript Evaluation Form

Manuscript Number:	
Title:	
Reviewer Number:	
Date Sent:	
Date Due:	
Dear Reviewer:	
Please review the enclosed manuscript that has been submitted to the Geoprogress Journal . and indicate your evaluation of the manuscript on this form. This is a blind review; neither your identity nor the author's is revealed. Additional comments to the Editor or the author are encouraged. By providing constructive comments, even if the manuscript is not, in your opinion, publishable, you are doing a service to your colleagues. It is necessary to return only the evaluation form. Reviews should be returned to info@geoprogress.eu as an attached file.	
Rankings: (1 =	unacceptable; 10 = excellent)
(Do not mark those that do not apply.)	
Evaluation Criteria (1-10)	
Popularity of the subject (1-10):	
Appropriateness for the Journal (1-10):	
Adequacy of literature review (1-10):	
Quality of research design (1-10):	
Adequacy of data analysis (1-10):	
Contributions to the literature (1-10):	
Legitimacy of conclusions (1-10):	
Practical/Managerial significance (1-10):	
Clarity of presentation (1-10):	
Overall Evaluation:	
() Outstanding	
() Definitely publishable	

() Probably publishable
() Marginally publishable
() Unpublishable
Recommendations for Review:
() Manuscript requires routine editing only
() Manuscript requires minor revisions from author(s)
() Manuscript requires major revisions from author(s)
() Manuscript is unsalvageable
Your Editorial Decision:
() Accept
() Accept after Specified Revision
() Reject
Specific Comments to the Author:
(This section of the form is REQUIRED main section. You need to give very specific comments to the author(s). Please remember that by providing detailed and constructive comments you are doing a service to your colleagues even if the manuscript is not, in your opinion, publishable.
Comments to the Editor:
(This section of the evaluation form is for journal editors only. Please give your reasons for your evaluations and specific criticisms.)